

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,443	02/21/2001	Yoshiyuki Suda	018842.1163	5233
24735 7	590 03/14/2003	•		
BAKER BOTTS LLP C/O INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT THE WARNER, SUITE 1300			EXAMINER	
			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2400		2832	TATER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	ι.(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/788,443

Applicant(s)

Suda

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit **2832**



The MAILING D	PATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or Any reply received by the Office	bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the d above, the maximum statutory period will apply an extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the later than three months after the mailing date of the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTH e application to become ABA	IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
earned patent term adjustment. Status	366 37 CFN 1.704(b).				
	ommunication(s) filed on <u>Jan 8, 200</u>	03	·		
2a) X This action is FIN	NAL. 2b)□ This acti	ion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above,	claim(s) <u>3 and 5-19</u>		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌 Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
	d 4				
			ect to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed dra	awing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
1. X Certified co	1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached do	etailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies no	t received.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
3) Information Disclosure State	ment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/788,443 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art of figures 1-6 (AAPA, hereinafter) in view of Ishimaru.

AAPA discloses an electromagnet assembly [figures 1-6] comprising:

- -a ring member [1] formed of a tubular spool with a pair of annular flanges;
- a coil member [3]; and
- a ring case [4] having a flange member [figure 1] with an opening [4a].

AAPA disclose the instant claimed invention except for: the connector having a projection extending into the opening and directly engaging the sides of the opening.

Ishimaru discloses a connector having a projection [figure 3] being being pressing into an opening of a magnet support member.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,443

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the connector design of Ishimaru for the connector of AAPA, as suggested by Ishimaru, for the purpose of securing the connector in place and reducing strain on the coil leads.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2832

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

LDD

March 8, 2003

DOWN RAMINER